

Additionally, any of the alternatives along the back 9 may even require a land grab to make the proposed right-of-way width. This only means one thing - a reduction in the beautiful recreational area for the park. This whole corridor at the west side of that property is also (and always has been) the main drainage for that parcel of property. With some storms bringing record rains, we need all the drainage we can keep.

ANY of these alternatives will ultimately affect the peaceful enjoyment of the community and could affect resale values if the noise and traffic are out of control.

We understand the need to relocate truck traffic from downtown, but this will also eliminate much of the CAR traffic from downtown - thereby starving those businesses of any transient economic benefits (i.e. cars won't be stopping for gas or fast food).

The real issue at hand is relocating that truck traffic and noise and dust closer to one of the densest-populated areas in the corridor study area, AND closer to communities where people have made a decision to live AWAY from all that noise, traffic, and dust. It goes against our long-standing selling point - being in the "country" while still close to the coasts.

We encourage as many people as possible to make constructive commentary. All comments are public record and available for all to read, so constructive is best. With general online discourse gradually eroding into knife-throwing, its probably best to remember that these engineers and consultants are just doing their jobs - and will probably respond best to constructive dialogue and reason.

Thanks

Ron